

# Mesa Water District, California

## New Issue Summary

**Sale Date:** On or around June 2 via negotiated sale

**Series:** Approximately \$56,545,000 Revenue Certificates of Participation (COPs), Series 2020

**Purpose:** Proceeds will be used to fund various capital improvement projects and pay issuance costs.

**Security:** The COPs are secured by installment payments made by the district to the Mesa Consolidated Water District Improvement Corporation. The corporation has assigned its right to receive installment payments to the trustee for the benefit of COP holders. Payments from the district are an absolute and unconditional obligation of the district, payable from a pledge of net water system revenues.

The 'AAA' Issuer Default Rating (IDR) reflects the district's very low net leverage, calculated as net adjusted debt/adjusted funds available for debt service (FADS), within a very strong revenue defensibility and low operating risks profile. The district maintains rate setting autonomy and a high degree of rate affordability. The district is embarking on a large, but manageable, capital improvement plan (CIP) primarily to ensure continued reliance on local water supplies and for pipeline repair and replacement. Net leverage is expected to increase with this debt issuance but is expected to remain low and consistent with the 'AAA' IDR.

The outbreak of the coronavirus and related government containment measures worldwide create an uncertain global environment for the water and sewer sector. While the system's performance through most recently available data has not indicated impairment, as there has only been a marginal increase in the number of delinquent accounts reported, material changes in revenue and cost profiles are occurring across the sector and likely to worsen in weeks and months, as economic activity suffers and government restrictions are maintained.

Fitch's ratings are forward-looking in nature, and Fitch will monitor developments in the sector as a result of the coronavirus outbreak, as it relates to severity and duration, and incorporate revised expectations for future performance and assessment of key risks.

## Key Rating Drivers

### Factors That Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade:

- Not applicable; given the 'AAA' rating, which is the highest Fitch rating, it could not be upgraded.

### Factors That Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade:

- Although not expected, a sustained increase in net leverage to greater than 5.0x resulting from higher than expected borrowing and/or a material narrowing of financial margin could pressure the rating.
- A material increase in user charges coupled with moderate deterioration in the service territory economic fundamentals could weaken the revenue defensibility assessment and pressure the rating without improvement in leverage.

## Rating

Long Term Issuer Default Rating AAA

## New Issue

\$56,545,000 Mesa Water District Revenue COPs, Series 2020 AAA

## Outstanding Debt

Mesa Water District Revenue COPs AAA

## Rating Outlook

Stable

## Applicable Criteria

[U.S. Water and Sewer Rating Criteria \(April 2020\)](#)

[Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria \(March 2020\)](#)

## Related Research

[Fitch Rates Mesa Water District, CA's Revenue COPs 'AAA'; Outlook Stable \(May 2020\)](#)

## Analysts

Gabriela Payne, CPA

+1 512 215 3731

[gabriela.gutierrezpayne@fitchratings.com](mailto:gabriela.gutierrezpayne@fitchratings.com)

Audra Dickinson

+1 512 813 5701

[audra.dickinson@fitchratings.com](mailto:audra.dickinson@fitchratings.com)

## Credit Profile

The district serves an affluent service area within 18 square miles that include most of the city of Costa Mesa, parts of Newport Beach and unincorporated areas in Orange County, California. As a built-out community in Orange County, the district's population of about 115,000 is largely residential and stable.

The district benefits from access to an ample supply of ground water, which is managed by the Orange County Water District (OCWD). The district currently operates six clear water wells that produce water at about half the cost of imported water even with a basin equity assessment (BEA) from OCWD and two deep water wells that produce amber water that the district treats at its advanced treatment facility, the Mesa Water Reliability Facility (MWRF). Completion of the MWRF in fiscal 2013 essentially eliminated the district's dependence on costly imported water supplies. While the cost of treating amber water is relatively high compared with the district's traditional clear water treatment, the amber water is plentiful and is not subject to the BEA or the district's groundwater allotment limits from the OCWD. Treatment costs are also expected to be lower than the cost of imported water over time.

## Revenue Defensibility

Revenue defensibility is assessed at 'aa'. The utility's revenue source subfactor is assessed at 'aa', which takes into consideration that the utility derives all revenue from water service provided to an affluent service area in Orange County, CA. The customer mix, as a percentage of fiscal 2019 water sales revenue, is diverse with about 64% residential, 24% commercial and 6% governmental. The remaining are irrigation and industrial accounts. Although the top 10 users account for more than 10% of revenues, they are generally stable governmental enterprises. In fiscal 2019, the top 10 customers accounted for 12.3% of water revenues and the city of Costa Mesa was the largest customer, accounting for 2.4% of total water revenues.

## Service Area Characteristics

Fitch considers the service area characteristics to be very favorable, consistent with the 'aa' subfactor assessment, implying a high degree of resiliency of demand and collectivity. Customer growth experienced a five-year average annual compound growth rate of 0.7% at FYE 2019. Costa Mesa income levels are considered strong, with area household income at 131% of the national average. The unemployment rate has consistently been lower than state and national levels.

## Rate Flexibility

The rate flexibility subfactor is also assessed at 'aa' with utility rates that are affordable for the vast majority of the population. The district has independent legal ability to increase service rates subject to Proposition 218 requirements, and rates are deemed affordable for the vast majority of the population at more than 80%. Fitch measures affordability relative to the most vulnerable customers who are at the lower income brackets of the service territory. The utility's monthly service charges at \$53.69 (assuming 7,500 gallons of water and 6,000 gallons of sewer service billed) in fiscal 2019 are considered to be unaffordable to approximately 18 % of customers, as measured against the income levels of the city of Costa Mesa.

The district has diligently implemented annual rate increases since 2013 and has been adopting these rate increases in five-year increments. Most recently, the district adopted a five-year rate plan and rate schedule through a Proposition 218 hearing in November of 2017. The utility implemented a 5% rate increase for fiscal 2020 and has approved additional 5% rate increases through fiscal 2022. Currently, expected rate adjustments are not anticipated to materially change service affordability levels.

No asymmetric rating factor considerations affect the revenue defensibility assessment.

## Operating Risks

The utility's operating risks are assessed at 'aa', which takes into consideration a very low operating cost burden and moderate life cycle investment needs supported by adequate capital investment. Operating costs, while trending upward over the last five years, are expected to remain very favorable for the foreseeable future. Capital spending has well-

## Rating History (IDR)

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	5/12/20
AAA	Review – No Action	Stable	8/26/19
AAA	Review – No Action	Stable	10/12/16
AAA	Assigned	Stable	11/4/10

exceeded depreciation annually over the last five years and is expected to continue as the utility implements the current CIP. Concerns relating to technology and completion risks of the planned capital projects are limited.

### Operating Cost Burden

The district's operating cost burden subfactor is assessed at 'aa' with a very low operating cost burden of \$5,457 per million gallons of water produced in fiscal 2019. Fitch calculates this as the ratio of total annual operating costs including depreciation to total million gallons of water produced on an average annual basis. Although this is expected to increase over time, the current operating cost burden remains comfortably below the 'aa' threshold of \$6,500 per million gallons.

### Capital Planning and Management

Fitch assessed the district's capital planning and management subfactor at 'aa'. The district's life cycle ratio is 40%, and capital investment over the last five fiscal years averaged 176% of depreciation. The fiscal years 2020 to 2024 CIP totals \$85 million. The series 2020 COPs will be used to fund about 81% of the capital program with the remaining funds from revenues and available reserves. The CIP consists mainly of the construction of two new groundwater production wells to increase clear groundwater production capacity, rehabilitation of two reservoirs, and pipeline replacements. Other projects include the development and construction of a MWRP education center and assessment and rehab or abandonment of existing vaults.

No asymmetric rating factor considerations affect the operating risk assessment.

## Financial Profile

The financial profile is assessed at 'aaa'. Fitch's calculated net leverage was less than 1x at FYE 2019, which is well under the assessment range. The liquidity profile is neutral to the rating with coverage of full obligations at 3.3x and a liquidity cushion of 461 days at the close of fiscal 2019.

Fitch's net leverage calculation includes the district's net pension liability, as adjusted upward by Fitch to reflect a 6% discount rate, and is offset by the district's \$13.5 million pension trust fund. Overall, the district's net debt in fiscal 2019 was only \$3.3 million due to its low debt and large cash reserves. Measured against the district's solid operating results of \$14.7 million adjusted FADS for the period, the net leverage is only 0.2x. In the context of the district's 'aa' assessments for both revenue defensibility and operating risks, net leverage of less than 5x suggests a 'AAA' analytical outcome. Including the series 2020 COPs, the Fitch Analytical Stress Test (FAST) tool output reflects our expectation that the district will maintain net leverage consistent with the rating.

The FAST base case utilized the district's operating projections that were most recently adjusted to reflect management's expectation with regard to the coronavirus, which reflects a 7.8% decrease in fiscal 2020 revenue that is somewhat offset by a 6.4% decline in operating expenses. The projections also reflect annual 5% rate increases that were adopted through fiscal 2022 and assume additional 5% rate increases for fiscal years 2023 and 2024, although these are subject to board approval and Proposition 218 hearings.

The base case reflects net leverage rising to 3.9x in fiscal 2020 as a result of the current debt issuance, with swift declines thereafter to under 1x by fiscal 2024. The FAST rating case, which layers an additional 10% in capital spending on the base case, reflects net leverage peaking in 2020 at 4.0x and then continuing a downward trend to 1.2x in fiscal 2024. Fitch also applied additional stresses to revenue in its forward looking assessment related to the effects of the coronavirus and possible lower than planned rate actions. The results increased net leverage marginally but remained supportive of the 'aaa' assessment. The district does not plan to issue additional debt over the next five years.

## Asymmetric Additional Risk Considerations

No asymmetric additive risk considerations affected this rating determination.

## ESG Considerations

The highest level of ESG credit relevance, if present, is a score of 3. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or to the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit [www.fitchratings.com/esg](http://www.fitchratings.com/esg).

**Issuer Summary**

(\$000, Audited Fiscal Years Ended June 30)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Revenue Defensibility</b>					
<b>Service Area Characteristics</b>					
Service Area Population Estimate	112,930	113,092	113,825	113,615	N.A.
Total Customer Count	24,491	24,488	24,477	24,748	24,993
<b>Five-Year Total Customer Count CAGR</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.7</b>
Service Area MHI (\$)	66,459	70,438	75,109	79,207	N.A.
<b>Service Area MHI/US MHI (%)</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>N.A.</b>
Service Area Unemployment Rate (%)	4.5	3.5	3.2	2.6	N.A.
<b>Service Area Unemployment Rate/US Unemployment Rate (%)</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>N.A.</b>
<b>Rate Flexibility</b>					
Total Monthly Bill (7,500 gallons water/6,000 gallons sewer)(\$)	44.85	46.46	47.81	51.07	53.69
<b>% of Population w/Unaffordable Bill</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>N.A.</b>
<b>Operating Risks</b>					
<b>Operating Cost Burden (\$/mg)</b>	<b>4,420</b>	<b>4,890</b>	<b>5,269</b>	<b>5,328</b>	<b>5,457</b>
<b>Capital Planning and Management</b>					
<b>Life Cycle Ratio (%)</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>
Annual CapEx/Depreciation (%)	68	110	263	230	207
<b>Five-Year Avg Capital Expenditures/Depreciation (%)</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>176</b>
<b>Financial Profile (\$000)</b>					
Total Debt	27,014	24,723	36,806	33,452	30,278
Capitalized Fixed Charges	6,482	2,062	1,357	952	903
Adjusted Net Pension Liability	14,021	15,823	18,504	17,686	17,574
Available Cash	40,148	42,212	51,549	46,926	45,439
Funds Restricted for Debt Service	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Net Adjusted Debt</b>	<b>7,369</b>	<b>395</b>	<b>5,118</b>	<b>5,164</b>	<b>3,317</b>
Total Operating Revs	33,250	29,295	31,125	35,705	36,168
Purchased Water/Sewer Services	2,646	841	554	388	369
Other Operating Expenses	20,706	20,683	23,016	25,836	24,879
<b>EBITDA</b>	<b>9,898</b>	<b>7,770</b>	<b>7,555</b>	<b>9,481</b>	<b>10,920</b>
Investment Income/(Loss)	405	681	26	536	1,872
Other Cash Revenues/(Expenses)	10	—	—	17	—
Capital Contributions	1,248	3,257	2,750	412	774
<b>FADS</b>	<b>11,560</b>	<b>11,708</b>	<b>10,331</b>	<b>10,447</b>	<b>13,566</b>
Fixed Services Expense	926	295	194	136	129
Net Transfers In/(Out)	—	—	—	—	—
Pension Expense	651	434	1,122	1,275	1,009
<b>Adjusted FADS</b>	<b>13,137</b>	<b>12,436</b>	<b>11,647</b>	<b>11,858</b>	<b>14,705</b>
<b>Net Adjusted Debt to Adjusted FADS (x)</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.2</b>
<b>FADS</b>	<b>11,560</b>	<b>11,708</b>	<b>10,331</b>	<b>10,447</b>	<b>13,566</b>
Fixed Services Expense	926	295	194	136	129
Net Transfers In/(Out)	—	—	—	—	—
Adjusted FADS for COFO	12,486	12,003	10,525	10,583	13,695
Total Annual Debt Service	3,510	3,318	3,544	3,872	4,029
Fixed Services Expense	926	295	194	136	129
<b>Adjusted Debt Service (including fixed services expense)</b>	<b>4,436</b>	<b>3,613</b>	<b>3,738</b>	<b>4,008</b>	<b>4,158</b>

**Issuer Summary**

(\$000, Audited Fiscal Years Ended June 30)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Coverage of Full Obligations (COFO) (x)</b>	2.81	3.32	2.82	2.64	3.29
<b>COFO exc. connection Fees (x)</b>	2.53	2.42	2.08	2.54	3.11
<b>Current Days Cash on Hand</b>	617	707	690	482	461
<b>Liquidity Cushion Ratio (days)</b>	617	707	690	482	461
All-in DSC (x)	3.29	3.53	2.91	2.70	3.37
<b>Cash and Investments (\$000)</b>					
Current Unrestricted Cash/Investments	39,445	41,674	44,567	34,648	31,910
Current Restricted Cash/Invest (Available Liquidity)	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Current Cash Available</b>	<b>39,445</b>	<b>41,674</b>	<b>44,567</b>	<b>34,648</b>	<b>31,910</b>
Noncurrent Unrestricted Cash/Investments	–	–	–	–	–
Noncurrent Restricted Cash/Invest (Pension Trust Fund)	703	538	6,983	12,278	13,529
<b>Available Cash</b>	<b>40,148</b>	<b>42,212</b>	<b>51,549</b>	<b>46,926</b>	<b>45,439</b>
Current Restricted Cash/Invest (Debt Service or Debt Service Reserve)	–	–	–	–	–
Noncurrent Restricted Cash/Invest (Debt Service or Debt Service Reserve)	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Funds Restricted for Debt Service</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>

N.A. – Not available. Note: Fitch may have reclassified certain financial statement items for analytical purposes.  
Source: Fitch Ratings, Fitch Solutions, Mesa Consolidated Water District (CA).

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT [WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM](http://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM). PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.